



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,819	04/15/2004	Ronald J. Daley	67010-087;H2699-GC	6680

26096 7590 02/05/2008
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD
SUITE 350
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

EXAMINER

SEFCHECK, GREGORY B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2619

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/05/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/824,819

Applicant(s)

DALEY ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory B. Sefcheck

Art Unit

2619

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 11-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 4/15/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 12/4/2004 is acknowledged.
- Previous objections to the Abstract and Specification are withdrawn in light of the present amendments.
- Claims 1 and 8 have been amended.
- Claims 7 and 10 have been cancelled.
- Claims 1-6, 8, 9, and 11-15 remain pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the main bus in Fig. 3 is identified by element number 102 instead of 12, as on pg. 1 of the Specification.

In the Remarks on pg. 8 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that the objection should be withdrawn because Fig. 3 has the main bus identified by element number 12 and is consistent with the specification.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Fig. 3 clearly shows the main bus identified by element number 102.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (Fig. 3), hereafter APA, in view of Lui et al. (US005337413A), hereafter Lui.

- Regarding Claim 8,

APA discloses a communication system having a main data bus 12 and an extended data bus 14 (claim 8 - main data bus, extended data bus).

APA further discloses a central computer 38 connected to the main bus (claim 8 - a central computer in communication with the main data bus).

APA also shows electronic package 16 (interface) that forms a link between the main bus and extended bus (claim 8 - integrated interface for a communication system that forms link between main data bus and extended data bus).

APA shows that a bus repeater 18 is included in the electronic package, having transceiver 20 coupled to the main bus and transceiver 22 coupled to the extended bus (claim 8 - a bus repeater having a first data interface to couple with a main bus and second data interface to couple with an extended bus; claim 8 - first data interface is a first transceiver and the second data interface is a second transceiver).

APA discloses remote terminal interface control logic 30 also included in the electronic package. However, APA does not explicitly show the logic in direct communication with the bus repeater. APA also does not explicitly disclose the bus repeater or remote terminal is programmable.

Lui discloses an environment monitoring system for standard interface bus computer systems (Title). Referring to Fig. 1, Lui discloses a host adapter 3 (integrated interface) that forms a communication link between a main bus 2 connected to host 1 and an extended bus 2 connected to remote devices 13. Lui shows that the adapter includes a bus repeater 4 and monitor logic 5 that is directly connected to the bus repeater by links 11,12 (claim 8 - a remote terminal in direct communication with the bus repeater). Lui also discloses information used in operating the bus repeater 4, monitoring logic 5, and remote devices 13 can be specified through communication of a control program running on the host processor 1, enabling the controlling (programming) of, for example, the ambient temperature of the remote devices 13 (Fig. 1; Col. 5, lines 27-41; claim 8 - at least one of the bus repeater and the remote terminal is a programmable device).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and interface of APA by directly connecting control logic to the bus repeater within an integrated interface between a main bus and an extended bus, as shown by Lui. This would enable communication from control logic associated with programmable remote devices to the host/central computer without requiring an additional dedicated address port on the adapter (Lui, Col. 2, lines 35-40).

- Regarding Claim 9,

APA discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

APA does not explicitly disclose transceiver 20 or 22 including analog-to-digital conversion circuitry and digital-to-analog conversion circuitry.

However, APA discloses that remote terminal interface control logic 30 receives and responds to messages from the remote terminals 10 over the extended bus after converting the analog signals to a digital format (see Background section of the Specification, Pg. 2, paragraph 5; claim 9 - at least one of the first and second transceivers includes analog-to-digital conversion circuitry and digital-to-analog conversion circuitry).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog circuitry in at least one of the transceivers in APA. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to perform this implementation because the remote terminal interface control logic 30 requires analog-digital conversion circuitry to process messages from the remote terminals 10 over the extended bus.

- Regarding Claims 11 and 12,

APA discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

APA does not explicitly disclose the bus repeater comprising programmable signal filter and reconstruction control logic for reconstructing received data and controlling the direction of data through the bus repeater.

Lui discloses control logic (Fig. 2) for controlling the operation of the bus repeater when switching between Bypass and Monitor modes, including the re-shaping of data and the direction of data through the adapter 3 (Col. 4, lines 39-42; Col. 7, lines 20-67; claim 11 - bus repeater comprises signal filtering and reconstruction control logic that reconstructs received data and controls a transmit/receive direction of data through the bus repeater).

Lui also discloses information used in operating the bus repeater 4 and monitoring logic 5 can be specified through communication of a control program running on the host processor 1 (Fig. 1; Col. 5, lines 27-41; claim 12 - signal filtering and reconstruction control logic is in a reprogrammable device in the bus repeater).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and interface of APA by providing programmable control logic for controlling the operating modes of the bus repeater and controlling the direction of data through the interface, as shown by Lui, thereby enabling the bus repeater and control logic to properly cooperate through common interfaces to the main bus and extended bus.

- Regarding Claims 14 and 15,

APA discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

APA discloses an example of the system as an aircraft communication system in which the plurality of remote terminals 10 coupled to the extended bus are associated with weapons on the aircraft (see also Background section of the Specification, Pg. 1, paragraph 2; claim 14 – system is an aircraft communication system; claim 15 – plurality of remote device terminals in communication with the extended bus; claim 15 – each remote device terminal associated with an aircraft weapon).

4. Claims 1-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA in view of Lui, further in view of Alexander, III et al. (US006701402B1), hereafter Alexander.

- Regarding Claims 1 and 2,

APA discloses a communication system having a main data bus 12 and an extended data bus 14. APA further discloses a central computer 38 connected to the main bus. APA also shows electronic package 16 (interface) that forms a link between the main bus and extended bus (claim 1 - integrated interface for a communication system that forms link between main data bus and extended data bus).

APA shows that a bus repeater 18 is included in the electronic package, having transceiver 20 coupled to the main bus and transceiver 22 coupled to the extended bus (claim 1 - a bus repeater having a first data interface to couple with a main bus and second data interface to couple with an extended bus; claim 2 - first data interface is a first transceiver and the second data interface is a second transceiver).

APA discloses remote terminal interface control logic 30 also included in the electronic package. However, APA does not explicitly show the logic in direct communication with the bus repeater.

Lui discloses an environment monitoring system for standard interface bus computer systems (Title). Referring to Fig. 1, Lui discloses a host adapter 3 (integrated interface) that forms a communication link between a main bus 2 connected to host 1 and an extended bus 2 connected to remote devices 13. Lui shows that the adapter includes a bus repeater 4 and monitor logic 5 that is directly connected to the bus repeater by links 11,12 (claim 1 - a remote terminal in direct communication with the bus repeater).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and interface of APA by directly connecting control logic to the bus repeater within an integrated interface between a main bus and an extended bus, as shown by Lui. This would enable communication from control logic associated with remote devices to the host/central computer without requiring an additional dedicated address port on the adapter (Lui, Col. 2, lines 35-40).

Neither APA nor Lui explicitly disclose bus idle detection circuitry in the bus repeater.

Alexander discloses selectively operating a host's device controller in a first or second mode (Title). Alexander discloses logic circuitry for detecting when the bus is idle (Col. 1, lines 55-65; meets claim 1 - bus idle detection circuit in the bus repeater).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Lui by implementing bus idle detection circuitry, as shown by Alexander, in the bus repeater, in order to provide the bus repeater and control logic of the integrated adapter with an indication of data to be processed over the bus from the host or remote devices.

- Regarding Claim 3,

APA discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

APA does not explicitly disclose transceiver 20 or 22 including analog-to-digital conversion circuitry and digital-to-analog conversion circuitry.

However, APA discloses that remote terminal interface control logic 30 receives and responds to messages from the remote terminals 10 over the extended bus after converting the analog signals to a digital format (see Background section of the Specification, Pg. 2, paragraph 5; claim 3 - at least one of the first and second

transceivers includes analog-to-digital conversion circuitry and digital-to-analog conversion circuitry).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog circuitry in at least one of the transceivers in APA. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to perform this implementation because the remote terminal interface control logic 30 requires analog-digital conversion circuitry to process messages from the remote terminals 10 over the extended bus.

- Regarding Claims 4-6,

APA discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

APA does not explicitly disclose the bus repeater comprising programmable signal filter and reconstruction control logic for reconstructing received data and controlling the direction of data through the bus repeater.

Lui discloses control logic (Fig. 2) for controlling the operation of the bus repeater when switching between Bypass and Monitor modes, including the re-shaping of data and the direction of data through the adapter 3 (Col. 4, lines 39-42; Col. 7, lines 20-67; claim 5 - bus repeater comprises signal filtering and reconstruction control logic that reconstructs received data and controls a transmit/receive direction of data through the bus repeater). Lui also discloses information used in operating the bus repeater 4 and monitoring logic 5 can be specified through communication of a control program running

on the host processor 1 (Fig. 1; Col. 5, lines 27-41; claim 4 - at least one of the bus repeater and the remote terminal is a programmable device; claim 6 - signal filtering and reconstruction control logic is in a reprogrammable device in the bus repeater).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and interface of APA by providing programmable control logic for controlling the operating modes of the bus repeater and controlling the direction of data through the interface, as shown by Lui, thereby enabling the bus repeater and control logic to properly cooperate through common interfaces to the main bus and extended bus.

- Regarding Claims 13,

Lui discloses a communication system and interface that meets all limitations of the parent claims.

Lui does not explicitly disclose bus idle detection circuitry in the bus repeater.

Alexander discloses selectively operating a host's device controller in a first or second mode (Title). Alexander discloses logic circuitry for detecting when the bus is idle (Col. 1, lines 55-65; meets claim 13 - bus idle detection circuit in the bus repeater).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Lui by implementing bus idle detection circuitry, as shown by Alexander, in the bus repeater, in order to provide the bus repeater and control logic of the integrated adapter with an indication of data to be processed over the bus from the host or remote devices.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg. 8-9 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that Liu does not disclose the limitation of "at least one of the bus repeater and the remote terminal is a programmable device" because the cited portion of Liu refers to a "control program running on the host".
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant argument has not considered the full citation from Liu provided in the rejection. Liu refers to a control program running on the host that enables information to be communicated for controlling other components of the system, including the bus interface and remote devices 13. Liu provides a specific example in the portion cited in the rejection of controlling (or "programming") the ambient temperature of the remote devices. Therefore, the claim limitations are met by Liu and the rejections are proper.
- In the Remarks on pg. 9 of the Amendment, Applicant contends that the limitation of "a bus idle detection circuit in the bus repeater" is not properly rejected because the combination of Liu and Alexander does not disclose the

claimed element of a bus idle detection circuit *in a bus repeater* (emphasis by Applicant).

- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Liu (as well as APA) is shown to disclose a bus repeater, as required by the claims. Alexander is relied upon to teach the bus idle detection circuitry. Therefore, the *combination* of APA and Liu with Alexander meets the contested limitation. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number:
10/824,819
Art Unit: 2619

Page 14

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GBS
Gregory Sefcheck
Patent Examiner
1-30-2008

Wing Chan
1/31/08
WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER